organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

2-Bromopyridine-3-carboxylic acid

R. Alan Howie,^a Raoni S. Gonçalves,^b Marcus V. N. de Souza,^b Edward R. T. Tiekink^{c*} and James L. Wardell^d[‡]

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Aberdeen, Old Aberdeen AB15 5NY, Scotland, ^bInstituto de Tecnologia em Farmacos, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz (FIOCRUZ), Far-Manguinhos, Rua Sizenando Nabuco, 100, Manguinhos, 21041-250 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil, ^cDepartment of Chemistry, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, and ^dCentro de Desenvolvimento Tecnológico em Saúde (CDTS), Fundação Oswaldo Cruz (FIOCRUZ), Casa Amarela, Campus de Manguinhos, Av. Brasil 4365, 21040-900 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil Correspondence e-mail: edward.tiekink@gmail.com

Received 26 January 2010; accepted 27 January 2010

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 120 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.007 Å; R factor = 0.038; wR factor = 0.093; data-to-parameter ratio = 12.5.

The carboxylic acid residue in the title compound, $C_6H_4BrNO_2$, is twisted out of the plane of the other atoms, as indicated by the $(Br)C-C-C-O_{carbonyl}$ torsion angle of $-20.1 (9)^{\circ}$. In the crystal, supramolecular chains mediated by $O-H \cdots N$ hydrogen bonds are formed with base vector [201] and $C-H \cdots O$ interactions reinforce the packing.

Related literature

For the biological activity of N-heterocylic compounds, see: de Souza (2005); Cunico et al. (2006). For related structures, see: Wright & King (1953); Kutoglu & Scheringer (1983); de Souza et al. (2005); Kaiser et al. (2009). For the synthesis, see: Bradlow & van der Werf (1949).



Experimental

Crystal data
$C_6H_4BrNO_2$ $M_r = 202.01$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
$a = 3.9286 (3) \text{ Å} b = 12.9737 (9) \text{ Å} c = 12.8570 (8) \text{ Å} \beta = 96.695 (4)^{\circ}$

V = 650.83 (8) Å ³	
Z = 4	
Mo $K\alpha$ radiation	
$\mu = 6.24 \text{ mm}^{-1}$	
$T = 120 { m K}$	
$0.10 \times 0.09 \times 0.08$ mm	m

Data collection

```
Nonius KappaCCD area-detector
  diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan
  (SADABS; Sheldrick, 2007)
  T_{\min} = 0.453, T_{\max} = 0.607
```

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.038$	92 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.093$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 1.06	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.86 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
1147 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.62 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

7699 measured reflections

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.070$

1147 independent reflections

882 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
O1-H1···N1 ⁱ	0.84	1.85	2.685 (5)	173
C5−H5···O2 ⁱⁱ	0.95	2.39	3.258 (7)	152
$C6-H6\cdots O2^{iii}$	0.95	2.47	3.171 (6)	131
Symmetry codes:	(i) $x - 1$, -	$-y + \frac{3}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2};$	(ii) $-x + 1, y +$	$\frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2};$ (iii)

codes: $x + 1, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$

Data collection: COLLECT (Hooft, 1998); cell refinement: DENZO (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997) and COLLECT; data reduction: DENZO and COLLECT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 1997) and DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

The use of the EPSRC X-ray crystallographic service at the University of Southampton, England and the valuable assistance of the staff there is gratefully acknowledged. JLW acknowledges support from CAPES (Brazil).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HB5318).

References

- Bradlow, H. L. & van der Werf, C. A. (1949). J. Org. Chem. 14, 509-515.
- Brandenburg, K. (2006). DIAMOND. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Cunico, W., Cechinel, C. A., Bonacorso, H. G., Martins, G. M. A. P., Zanetta, N., de Souza, M. V. N., Freitas, I. Q., Soares, R. P. P. & Krettli, A. U. (2006). Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 16, 649-653.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Hooft, R. W. W. (1998). COLLECT. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Kaiser, C. R., Pais, K. C., de Souza, M. V. N., Wardell, J. L., Wardell, S. M. S. V.
- & Tiekink, E. R. T. (2009). CrystEngComm, 11, 1133-1140.
- Kutoglu, A. & Scheringer, C. (1983). Acta Cryst. C39, 232-234.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). Methods in Enzymology, Vol. 276, Macromolecular Crystallography, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr & R. M. Sweet, pp. 307-326. New York: Academic Press.

Sheldrick, G. M. (2007). SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Souza, M. V. N. de (2005). Mini Rev. Med. Chem. 5, 1009-1017.
- Souza, M. V. N. de, Wardell, S. M. S. V. & Howie, R. A. (2005). Acta Cryst. E61, o1347-o1349.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). publCIF. In preparation.
- Wright, W. B. & King, G. S. D. (1953). Acta Cryst. 6, 305-317.

‡ Additional correspondence author, e-mail: j.wardell@abdn.ac.uk.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2010). E66, o486 [doi:10.1107/S1600536810003314]

2-Bromopyridine-3-carboxylic acid

R. A. Howie, R. S. Gonçalves, M. V. N. de Souza, E. R. T. Tiekink and J. L. Wardell

Comment

The structure of the title compound, (I), was determined in connection with on-going studies of biological activitiess, e.g. anti-mycobacterial activity, of N-heterocyclic compounds (Cunico *et al.* 2006; de Souza, 2005), as we have embarked on complementary systematic structural investigations in order to ascertain supramolecular aggregation patterns (Kaiser *et al.*, 2009).

In the molecular structure of (I), Fig. 1, the carbonyl-O2 atom is approximately syn to the bromide. The carboxylic acid residue is twisted out of the plane of the pyridine ring as seen in the value of the C2/C3/C7/O1 torsion angle of 161.1 (5)°. In the crystal packing, a supramolecular chain with base vector [2 0 1] is formed through the agency of O–H···N hydrogen bonds, Fig. 2 and Table 1. Additional stabilisation to the chains are afforded by C-H···O_{carbonyl} interactions, Table 1. The chains stack into layers in the ab place and are consolidated in the crystal structure by further C-H···O_{carbonyl} contacts, Fig. 2 & Table 1. Similar supramolecular chains are found in the crystal structures of nicotinic acid (Wright & King, 1953; Kutoglu & Scheringer, 1983) as well as in 2-chloropyridine-3-carboxylic acid (de Souza *et al.*, 2005).

Experimental

A mixture of 2-bromo-3-methylpyridine (0.77 g, 4.5 mmol), KMnO₄ (0.316 g, 2 mmol) and H₂O (20 ml) was refluxed until the purple colour of the solution disappeared. A second portion of KMnO₄ (0.316 g) and water (10 ml) were added and the reaction mixture was refluxed again until no purple colour remained. The reaction mixture was concentrated to 10 ml, acidified with concentrated hydrochloric acid, and filtered. The precipitate was washed with cold water and cold diethylether (20 ml). The yield was 0.79 g (60%), m.p. 520–523 K; lit value 522-523 K (Bradlow & van der Werf, 1949). 2-Bromonicotinic acid was recrystallised from EtOH for the crystallographic study. ¹H NMR [500.00 MHz, DMSO-d₆] δ : 8.50 (1*H*, dd, *J* = 5.0 and 2.0 Hz, H6), 8.13 (1*H*, dd, *J* = 7.5 and 2.0 Hz, H4), 7.55 (1*H*, dd, *J* = 7.5 and 5.0 Hz, H5), 3.44 (1*H*, s, OH) p.p.m. ¹³C NMR (125.0 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ : 166.3, 151.8, 139.1, 138.6, 131.1, 123.2 p.p.m.

Refinement

The C-bound H atoms were geometrically placed (C–H = 0.95 Å) and refined as riding with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$. The N-bound H atoms were located from a difference map and refined with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(N)$.

Figures



Fig. 1. The molecular structure of (I) showing displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level.

Fig. 2. View of the unit cell contents in (I) highlighting the N–H…O hydrogen bonding (orange dashed lines) leading to supramolecular chains, and C–H…O contacts within and between chains (blue dashed lines). Colour code: Br, olive; O, red; N, blue; C, grey; and H, green.

2-Bromopyridine-3-carboxylic acid

F(000) = 392
$D_{\rm x} = 2.062 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$
Mo K α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
Cell parameters from 24006 reflections
$\theta = 2.9 - 27.5^{\circ}$
$\mu = 6.24 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
T = 120 K
Block, colourless
$0.10\times0.09\times0.08~mm$

Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD area-detector diffractometer	1147 independent reflections
Radiation source: Enraf Nonius FR591 rotating an- ode	882 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
10 cm confocal mirrors	$R_{\rm int} = 0.070$
Detector resolution: 9.091 pixels mm ⁻¹	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.0^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 3.2^{\circ}$
ϕ and ω scans	$h = -4 \rightarrow 4$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 2007)	$k = -15 \rightarrow 15$
$T_{\min} = 0.453, T_{\max} = 0.607$	$l = -15 \rightarrow 13$
7699 measured reflections	

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Least-squares matrix: full	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.038$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$wR(F^2) = 0.093$	H-atom parameters constrained
<i>S</i> = 1.06	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0439P)^2 + 1.1585P]$ where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
1147 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
92 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.86 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
0 restraints	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.62 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All s.u.'s (except the s.u. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell s.u.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of s.u.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between s.u.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell s.u.'s is used for estimating s.u.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor wR and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}*/U_{\rm eq}$	
Br	0.26644 (13)	0.59770 (4)	0.89357 (4)	0.0284 (2)	
01	-0.1485 (10)	0.8088 (3)	0.6204 (3)	0.0329 (9)	
H1	-0.2563	0.7783	0.5691	0.049*	
O2	0.0225 (11)	0.6488 (3)	0.6647 (3)	0.0484 (12)	
N1	0.5499 (10)	0.7843 (3)	0.9471 (3)	0.0257 (10)	
C2	0.3563 (13)	0.7384 (4)	0.8676 (4)	0.0237 (11)	
C3	0.2287 (12)	0.7899 (4)	0.7756 (4)	0.0246 (11)	
C4	0.3069 (14)	0.8942 (4)	0.7698 (4)	0.0303 (12)	
H4	0.2193	0.9327	0.7098	0.036*	
C5	0.5111 (13)	0.9428 (4)	0.8507 (4)	0.0252 (12)	
Н5	0.5688	1.0137	0.8461	0.030*	
C6	0.6276 (13)	0.8854 (4)	0.9378 (4)	0.0268 (12)	
Н6	0.7679	0.9179	0.9935	0.032*	
C7	0.0240 (13)	0.7406 (4)	0.6822 (4)	0.0290 (12)	
Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$					
	U^{11} U	U^{22} U^{3}	U^{12}	U^{13}	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

 U^{23}

supplementary materials

Br	0.0342 (3)	0.0217 (3)	0.0277 (3)	-0.0033 (2)	-0.0029 (2)	0.0016 (2)
01	0.042 (2)	0.030 (2)	0.025 (2)	0.0023 (18)	-0.0059 (17)	-0.0007 (16)
O2	0.072 (3)	0.027 (2)	0.041 (2)	0.009 (2)	-0.018 (2)	-0.0066 (19)
N1	0.031 (2)	0.024 (2)	0.023 (2)	0.0023 (19)	0.0034 (19)	-0.0008 (19)
C2	0.021 (2)	0.024 (3)	0.026 (3)	0.002 (2)	0.005 (2)	-0.001 (2)
C3	0.023 (3)	0.026 (3)	0.024 (3)	0.005 (2)	0.002 (2)	-0.003 (2)
C4	0.035 (3)	0.025 (3)	0.029 (3)	0.003 (2)	-0.003 (2)	0.003 (2)
C5	0.030 (3)	0.020 (3)	0.026 (3)	-0.001 (2)	0.003 (2)	-0.003 (2)
C6	0.027 (3)	0.028 (3)	0.024 (3)	0.000 (2)	-0.002 (2)	-0.007 (2)
C7	0.028 (3)	0.031 (3)	0.027 (3)	0.003 (2)	0.000 (2)	0.002 (2)
Geometric para	meters (Å, °)					
Br—C2		1.897 (5)	С3—	-C4	1.39	2 (7)
O1—C7		1.322 (6)	С3—	-C7	1.507 (7)	
O1—H1		0.8400	C4—C5		1.388 (7)	
O2—C7		1.213 (6)	C4—	-H4	0.95	00
N1—C2		1.340 (6)	С5—	-C6	1.37	8 (7)
N1—C6		1.356 (6)	C5—	-H5	0.95	00
C2—C3		1.400 (7)	С6—	-Н6	0.95	00
C7—O1—H1		109.5	С3—	-C4—H4	119.	5
C2—N1—C6		118.4 (4)	С6—	-C5-C4	118.	1 (5)
N1—C2—C3		123.3 (5)	С6—	-C5—H5	121.	0
N1—C2—Br		113.2 (3)	C4—	-C5—H5	121.	0
C3—C2—Br		123.5 (4)	N1—	-C6—C5	122.	6 (4)
C4—C3—C2		116.7 (5)	N1—	-С6—Н6	118.	7
C4—C3—C7		118.1 (4)	С5—	-С6—Н6	118.	7
C2—C3—C7		125.2 (4)	O2—	-C701	123.	7 (5)
C5—C4—C3		120.9 (5)	O2—C7—C3		2—C7—C3 123.8 (5)	
С5—С4—Н4		119.5	01–	-C7-C3	112.	6 (4)
C2—C3—C7—O	01	161.1 (5)	C2—	-C3C7O2	-20.	1 (9)
C4—C3—C7—O	01	-20.7 (7)	C4—	-C3C7O2	158.	1 (6)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D\!\!-\!\!\mathrm{H}^{\ldots}\!\!\cdot\!\!\cdot$
O1—H1…N1 ⁱ	0.84	1.85	2.685 (5)	173
C5—H5···O2 ⁱⁱ	0.95	2.39	3.258 (7)	152
C6—H6…O2 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.95	2.47	3.171 (6)	131

Symmetry codes: (i) x-1, -y+3/2, z-1/2; (ii) -x+1, y+1/2, -z+3/2; (iii) x+1, -y+3/2, z+1/2.





